

A topological game on the space of ultrafilters

José Carlos Fontanesi Kling

jose.kling@pm.me

Instituto de Ciências Matemáticas e de Computação
ICMC - USP

Supervisor: Prof. Dr. Leandro Fiorini Aurichi

The game $GS(T)$

Rules

The game $GS(T)$

Rules

- ▶ First we fix a family T of sets of natural numbers. That will be the **target** set.

The game $GS(T)$

Rules

- ▶ First we fix a family T of sets of natural numbers. That will be the **target** set.
- ▶ Two players, *Alice* and *Bob*, take turns alternately.

The game $GS(T)$

Rules

- ▶ First we fix a family T of sets of natural numbers. That will be the **target set**.
- ▶ Two players, *Alice* and *Bob*, take turns alternately.
- ▶ In each turn, the player chooses a natural number that has not been chosen by any player in the previous rounds.

The game $GS(T)$

Rules

- ▶ First we fix a family T of sets of natural numbers. That will be the **target set**.
- ▶ Two players, *Alice* and *Bob*, take turns alternately.
- ▶ In each turn, the player chooses a natural number that has not been chosen by any player in the previous rounds.
- ▶ A play is an infinite string of pairwise distinct natural numbers $(a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2, \dots)$, the terms a_n indicating *Alice's* choices and b_n *Bob's* choices.

The game $GS(T)$

Rules

- ▶ First we fix a family T of sets of natural numbers. That will be the **target set**.
- ▶ Two players, *Alice* and *Bob*, take turns alternately.
- ▶ In each turn, the player chooses a natural number that has not been chosen by any player in the previous rounds.
- ▶ A play is an infinite string of pairwise distinct natural numbers $(a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2, \dots)$, the terms a_n indicating *Alice's* choices and b_n *Bob's* choices.
- ▶ *Alice* wins if the set of her choices during the game is in T , that is, $\{a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots\} \in T$. *Bob* wins otherwise.

The game $GS(T)$

We can turn this game into a standard Gale-Stewart game with the following modifications:

The game $GS(T)$

We can turn this game into a standard Gale-Stewart game with the following modifications:

- ▶ There are no restrictions to the players possible moves.

The game $GS(T)$

We can turn this game into a standard Gale-Stewart game with the following modifications:

- ▶ There are no restrictions to the players possible moves.
- ▶ *Alice* wins if the play is one of the following sets:

$$\{(a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2, \dots) \mid \{a_1, a_2, \dots\} \in T \text{ and all term are distinct}\}$$

$$\{(a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2, \dots) \mid \text{Bob is the first to repeat some number}\}$$

The game $GS(T)$

We can turn this game into a standard Gale-Stewart game with the following modifications:

- ▶ There are no restrictions to the players possible moves.
- ▶ *Alice* wins if the play is one of the following sets:

$$\{(a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2, \dots) \mid \{a_1, a_2, \dots\} \in T \text{ and all term are distinct}\}$$

$$\{(a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2, \dots) \mid \text{Bob is the first to repeat some number}\}$$

We are most interested in some specific targets, namely ultrafilters and sets that arise in Ramsey theoretical results, such as IP-sets and AP-rich sets.

Topological version $F(T)$

Topological version $F(T)$

$\beta\omega$ is the space of ultrafilters over the naturals. If T is a set of non-principal ultrafilters, then we have the game $F(T)$ with the rules:

Topological version $F(T)$

$\beta\omega$ is the space of ultrafilters over the naturals. If T is a set of non-principal ultrafilters, then we have the game $F(T)$ with the rules:

- ▶ *Alice* and *Bob* take turns choosing a natural number (principal ultrafilter).

Topological version $F(T)$

$\beta\omega$ is the space of ultrafilters over the naturals. If T is a set of non-principal ultrafilters, then we have the game $F(T)$ with the rules:

- ▶ *Alice* and *Bob* take turns choosing a natural number (principal ultrafilter).
- ▶ They cannot repeat previous choices.

Topological version $F(T)$

$\beta\omega$ is the space of ultrafilters over the naturals. If T is a set of non-principal ultrafilters, then we have the game $F(T)$ with the rules:

- ▶ *Alice* and *Bob* take turns choosing a natural number (principal ultrafilter).
- ▶ They cannot repeat previous choices.
- ▶ *Alice* wins if $\overline{\{a_1, a_2, \dots\}} \cap T \neq \emptyset$.

Topological version

Remember that for a set $A \subset \omega$ and $T \subset \omega^*$, then
 $\overline{A} \cap T \neq \emptyset \Leftrightarrow \exists p \in T, A \in p.$

Topological version

Remember that for a set $A \subset \omega$ and $T \subset \omega^*$, then $\overline{A} \cap T \neq \emptyset \Leftrightarrow \exists p \in T, A \in p$.

This game is actually the same as $GS(\bigcup_{p \in T} p)$.

Topological version

Remember that for a set $A \subset \omega$ and $T \subset \omega^*$, then
 $\overline{A} \cap T \neq \emptyset \Leftrightarrow \exists p \in T, A \in p$.

This game is actually the same as $GS(\bigcup_{p \in T} p)$.

Sets that arise in Ramsey theoretical results are unions of ultrafilters (called superfilters or coideals).

Topological version

Remember that for a set $A \subset \omega$ and $T \subset \omega^*$, then
 $\overline{A} \cap T \neq \emptyset \Leftrightarrow \exists p \in T, A \in p.$

This game is actually the same as $GS(\bigcup_{p \in T} p).$

Sets that arise in Ramsey theoretical results are unions of ultrafilters (called superfilters or coideals).

A superfilter is a family \mathcal{S} of subsets of ω satisfying:

Topological version

Remember that for a set $A \subset \omega$ and $T \subset \omega^*$, then
 $\overline{A} \cap T \neq \emptyset \Leftrightarrow \exists p \in T, A \in p$.

This game is actually the same as $GS(\bigcup_{p \in T} p)$.

Sets that arise in Ramsey theoretical results are unions of ultrafilters (called superfilters or coideals).

A superfilter is a family \mathcal{S} of subsets of ω satisfying:

- ▶ If $A \in \mathcal{S}$ and $A \subset B$, then $B \in \mathcal{S}$.

Topological version

Remember that for a set $A \subset \omega$ and $T \subset \omega^*$, then
 $\overline{A} \cap T \neq \emptyset \Leftrightarrow \exists p \in T, A \in p.$

This game is actually the same as $GS(\bigcup_{p \in T} p).$

Sets that arise in Ramsey theoretical results are unions of ultrafilters (called superfilters or coideals).

A superfilter is a family \mathcal{S} of subsets of ω satisfying:

- ▶ If $A \in \mathcal{S}$ and $A \subset B$, then $B \in \mathcal{S}$.
- ▶ If $A \cup B \in \mathcal{S}$, then $A \in \mathcal{S}$ or $B \in \mathcal{S}$.

Modifications

We can modify the amount of numbers each player chooses in his/her turn.

Modifications

We can modify the amount of numbers each player chooses in his/her turn.

Define $F_n^m(T)$ for the game where *Alice* chooses m numbers in her turn and *Bob* chooses n numbers. We can use *fin* to denote that the corresponding players may choose any finite amount of numbers.

Modifications

We can modify the amount of numbers each player chooses in his/her turn.

Define $F_n^m(T)$ for the game where *Alice* chooses m numbers in her turn and *Bob* chooses n numbers. We can use *fin* to denote that the corresponding players may choose any finite amount of numbers.

Some results

Modifications

We can modify the amount of numbers each player chooses in his/her turn.

Define $F_n^m(T)$ for the game where *Alice* chooses m numbers in her turn and *Bob* chooses n numbers. We can use fin to denote that the corresponding players may choose any finite amount of numbers.

Some results

- ▶ *Alice* doesn't win $F(\{p\})$,
 $p \in \omega^*$.

Modifications

We can modify the amount of numbers each player chooses in his/her turn.

Define $F_n^m(T)$ for the game where *Alice* chooses m numbers in her turn and *Bob* chooses n numbers. We can use fin to denote that the corresponding players may choose any finite amount of numbers.

Some results

- ▶ *Alice* doesn't win $F(\{p\})$,
 $p \in \omega^*$.
- ▶ *Alice* wins $F(\mathcal{AP})$.

Modifications

We can modify the amount of numbers each player chooses in his/her turn.

Define $F_n^m(T)$ for the game where *Alice* chooses m numbers in her turn and *Bob* chooses n numbers. We can use fin to denote that the corresponding players may choose any finite amount of numbers.

Some results

- ▶ *Alice* doesn't win $F(\{p\})$,
 $p \in \omega^*$.
- ▶ *Alice* wins $F(\mathcal{AP})$.
- ▶ *Alice* wins $F_{fin}(\mathcal{AP})$.

Modifications

We can modify the amount of numbers each player chooses in his/her turn.

Define $F_n^m(T)$ for the game where *Alice* chooses m numbers in her turn and *Bob* chooses n numbers. We can use fin to denote that the corresponding players may choose any finite amount of numbers.

Some results

- ▶ *Alice* doesn't win $F(\{p\})$,
 $p \in \omega^*$.
- ▶ *Alice* wins $F(\mathcal{AP})$.
- ▶ *Alice* wins $F_{fin}(\mathcal{AP})$.
- ▶ *Bob* wins $F_{fin}^k(\mathcal{AP})$ for
any $k \in \omega$.

Modifications

We can modify the amount of numbers each player chooses in his/her turn.

Define $F_n^m(T)$ for the game where *Alice* chooses m numbers in her turn and *Bob* chooses n numbers. We can use fin to denote that the corresponding players may choose any finite amount of numbers.

Some results

- ▶ *Alice* doesn't win $F(\{p\})$,
 $p \in \omega^*$.
 - ▶ *Alice* wins $F(\mathcal{AP})$.
 - ▶ *Alice* wins $F_{fin}(\mathcal{AP})$.
 - ▶ *Bob* wins $F_{fin}^k(\mathcal{AP})$ for
any $k \in \omega$.
- ▶ *Alice* wins $F_{fin}(\mathcal{IP})$.

Modifications

We can modify the amount of numbers each player chooses in his/her turn.

Define $F_n^m(T)$ for the game where *Alice* chooses m numbers in her turn and *Bob* chooses n numbers. We can use fin to denote that the corresponding players may choose any finite amount of numbers.

Some results

- ▶ *Alice* doesn't win $F(\{p\})$,
 $p \in \omega^*$.
- ▶ *Alice* wins $F(\mathcal{AP})$.
- ▶ *Alice* wins $F_{fin}(\mathcal{AP})$.
- ▶ *Bob* wins $F_{fin}^k(\mathcal{AP})$ for
any $k \in \omega$.
- ▶ *Alice* wins $F_{fin}(\mathcal{IP})$.
- ▶ *Bob* wins $F_{fin}^k(\mathcal{IP})$ for
any $k \in \omega$.

Modifications

We can modify the amount of numbers each player chooses in his/her turn.

Define $F_n^m(T)$ for the game where *Alice* chooses m numbers in her turn and *Bob* chooses n numbers. We can use fin to denote that the corresponding players may choose any finite amount of numbers.

Some results

- ▶ *Alice* doesn't win $F(\{p\})$,
 $p \in \omega^*$.
- ▶ *Alice* wins $F(\mathcal{AP})$.
- ▶ *Alice* wins $F_{fin}(\mathcal{AP})$.
- ▶ *Bob* wins $F_{fin}^k(\mathcal{AP})$ for any $k \in \omega$.
- ▶ *Alice* wins $F_{fin}(\mathcal{IP})$.
- ▶ *Bob* wins $F_{fin}^k(\mathcal{IP})$ for any $k \in \omega$.
- ▶ *Alice* wins $F(T)$ for $T \subset \omega^*$ open or dense.

Relations with other games

Let \mathcal{F} be a filter. In the game $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{F})$ *Alice* and *Bob* take turns choosing a natural number (may be repeated). *Bob* wins if his choices eventually dominates *Alice*'s choices and the set of his choices is in \mathcal{F} .

Relations with other games

Let \mathcal{F} be a filter. In the game $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{F})$ *Alice* and *Bob* take turns choosing a natural number (may be repeated). *Bob* wins if his choices eventually dominates *Alice*'s choices and the set of his choices is in \mathcal{F} .

Theorem (Bartoszyński and Scheepers)

Alice has a winning strategy in $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{F})$ if, and only if, \mathcal{F} is not a rare filter. (A rare ultrafilter is called a q -point)

The games $\mathcal{G}(T)$ and $F_{fin}^1(T)$ are dual.

Relations with other games

Let \mathcal{F} be a filter. In the game $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{F})$ *Alice* and *Bob* take turns choosing a natural number (may be repeated). *Bob* wins if his choices eventually dominates *Alice*'s choices and the set of his choices is in \mathcal{F} .

Theorem (Bartoszyński and Scheepers)

Alice has a winning strategy in $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{F})$ if, and only if, \mathcal{F} is not a rare filter. (A rare ultrafilter is called a q -point)

The games $\mathcal{G}(T)$ and $F_{fin}^1(T)$ are dual.

As a consequence, we get that if $p \in \omega^*$ is a q -point, then none of the players have a winning strategy in $F(p)$.

Relations with other games

The games $F_{fin}(T)$ and $BM(2^\omega, T)$, the Banach-Mazur game on 2^ω with target T , are equivalent.

Relations with other games

The games $F_{fin}(T)$ and $BM(2^\omega, T)$, the Banach-Mazur game on 2^ω with target T , are equivalent.

Theorem (Oxtoby)

Let X be a complete metric space and $T \subset X$, then

- ▶ Alice has winning strategy if in $BM(X, T)$, and only if, T is comeager in some open set of X .*
- ▶ Bob has winning strategy in $BM(X, T)$ if, and only if, T is meager.*

Relations with other games

The games $F_{fin}(T)$ and $BM(2^\omega, T)$, the Banach-Mazur game on 2^ω with target T , are equivalent.

Theorem (Oxtoby)

Let X be a complete metric space and $T \subset X$, then

- ▶ Alice has winning strategy if in $BM(X, T)$, and only if, T is comeager in some open set of X .*
- ▶ Bob has winning strategy in $BM(X, T)$ if, and only if, T is meager.*

An ultrafilter $p \in \omega^*$ is not meager nor comeager in 2^ω , so neither player has a winning strategy in $F_{fin}(p)$.

Relations with other games

Theorem (Talagrand)

A superfilter $\mathcal{S} \subset 2^\omega$ is comeager if, and only if, there is a partition I_1, I_2, \dots of ω in finite intervals such that for all infinite $N \subset \omega$, $\bigcup_{n \in N} I_n \in \mathcal{S}$. (Thanks to Andreas Blass)

Relations with other games

Theorem (Talagrand)

A superfilter $\mathcal{S} \subset 2^\omega$ is comeager if, and only if, there is a partition I_1, I_2, \dots of ω in finite intervals such that for all infinite $N \subset \omega$, $\bigcup_{n \in N} I_n \in \mathcal{S}$. (Thanks to Andreas Blass)

As a consequence we get that if $T \subset 2^\omega$ is the union of countable ultrafilters, then it is not comeager.

Relations with other games

Theorem (Talagrand)

A superfilter $\mathcal{S} \subset 2^\omega$ is comeager if, and only if, there is a partition I_1, I_2, \dots of ω in finite intervals such that for all infinite $N \subset \omega$, $\bigcup_{n \in N} I_n \in \mathcal{S}$. (Thanks to Andreas Blass)

As a consequence we get that if $T \subset 2^\omega$ is the union of countable ultrafilters, then it is not comeager.

Corollary: If $T \subset \omega^*$ is a countable set, then none of the players have a winning strategy in $F_{fin}(T)$.

Next steps

Next steps

- ▶ Is there some $p \in \omega^*$ for which *Bob* has a winning strategy in $F(p)$?

Next steps

- ▶ Is there some $p \in \omega^*$ for which *Bob* has a winning strategy in $F(p)$?
- ▶ Does any of the players have a winning strategy in $F(\mathcal{IP})$?

Next steps

- ▶ Is there some $p \in \omega^*$ for which *Bob* has a winning strategy in $F(p)$?
- ▶ Does any of the players have a winning strategy in $F(\mathcal{IP})$?
- ▶ If *Alice* wins $F(T_1 \cup T_2)$, does she win $F(T_1)$ or $F(T_2)$?

Next steps

- ▶ Is there some $p \in \omega^*$ for which *Bob* has a winning strategy in $F(p)$?
- ▶ Does any of the players have a winning strategy in $F(\mathcal{IP})$?
- ▶ If *Alice* wins $F(T_1 \cup T_2)$, does she win $F(T_1)$ or $F(T_2)$?
- ▶ Can we characterize the targets for which *Alice* wins?

Next steps

- ▶ Is there some $p \in \omega^*$ for which *Bob* has a winning strategy in $F(p)$?
- ▶ Does any of the players have a winning strategy in $F(\mathcal{IP})$?
- ▶ If *Alice* wins $F(T_1 \cup T_2)$, does she win $F(T_1)$ or $F(T_2)$?
- ▶ Can we characterize the targets for which *Alice* wins?
- ▶ Does *Alice* have a winning strategy in $F_{fin}(T)$ if $T \subset \omega^*$ is an uncountable set?